183046

ELLIOTT & ELLIOTT, P.A.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

721 OLIVE STREET
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29205
selliott@elliottlaw.us

SCOTT ELLIOTT

TELEPHONE (803) 771-0555 FACSIMILE (803) 771-8010

December 1, 2006

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Charles L. A. Terreni, Esquire Chief Clerk and Administrator South Carolina Public Service Commission 101 Executive Center Drive Columbia, SC 29210 Posted: D. Duko

Dept: S/

Date: 12/06

Time: $\frac{\alpha^{1}}{\alpha}$

RE:

Application of Wyboo Plantation Utilities, Inc. for Approval of

New Schedule of Rates and Charges for Water and Sewer Services

Docket No.: 2005-13-WS

Dear Mr. Terreni:

Enclosed are the original and five (5) copies of the Return to Motion Seeking Approval to Present the Pre-filed Testimony of Joe Maready filed on behalf of Wyboo Plantation Owners Association, Inc. in the above referenced docket. By copy of this letter, I am serving all parties of record. I have also enclosed an extra copy of this Return to Motion which I would ask you to date stamp and return to me via my courier.

If you have questions or need additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me

Sincerely,

Elliott & Elliott, P.A.

Charles H. Cook

CHC/mlw Enclosures

c: All parties of record w/enc.



BEFORE

THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF

SOUTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NO. 2005-13-WS

IN THE MATTER OF:

APPLICATION OF WYBOO PLANTATION UTILITIES, INC. FOR APPROVAL OF NEW SCHEDULE OF RATES AND CHARGES FOR WATER AND SEWER SERVICES

RETURN TO MOTION SEEKING APPROVAL TO PRESENT THE PRE-FILED TESTIMONY OF JOE MAREADY

Wyboo Plantation Utilities, Inc. ("Wyboo" or "Applicant") has moved to present the pre-filed direct testimony of the late Joe Maready at the hearing in this matter. The pre-filed testimony is inadmissible hearsay and its admission into the record would be unduly prejudicial, arbitrary, a denial of due process and would exceed the statutory authority of the Public Service Commission. Accordingly, for the reasons set out herein, the intervenor Wyboo Plantation Owners Association, Inc. ("homeowners") would object to the Applicant's motion.

The Applicant seeks an overall 375% increase in its water and sewer rates. The record would reflect that approximately two-thirds of the Applicant's customers attended the night hearing held in this matter October 30, 2006, at the Clarendon County Courthouse. The application is controversial and hotly contested. The death of Applicant's witness is, of course, regrettable and all of the parties feel sympathy for his family and loved ones. However, the witness' pre-filed testimony is written evidence of a statement made out of court and offered to show the truth of the matter asserted therein.

Therefore, its value to the Commission is based upon the credibility of the deceased witness. It is classic inadmissible hearsay *James F. Dreher, A Guide to Evidence Law in South Carolina*, Chapter 8 at pg. 59 (1967).

Hearsay is not admissible except as provided by the South Carolina Rules of Evidence or by other rules prescribed by the Supreme Court of the State of South Carolina or by statute. Rule 802, S.C.R.E. The Applicant cites no statutory or case law authority or evidentiary rule as authority for admitting the decedent's pre-filed testimony into the record. Indeed, careful scrutiny of the South Carolina Rules of Evidence reveals no exception to the hearsay rule cited above to admitting the decedent's pre-filed testimony into the record. The Commission should note that the Rules anticipate certain circumstances in which the witness may be unavailable to testify; however, the Rules of Evidence do not recognize an exception to the hearsay rule that permits written testimony in question and answer form to be admitted to prove the truth of the matter asserted therein.

The inconvenience to the Applicant in having to expend the time and effort to obtain a second witness to vouch for his 375% rate increase is outweighed by the prejudice to the remaining parties and customers in having to contend with the assertions and allegations contained in the pre-filed testimony without the benefit of cross-examination. Due process requires, among other things, an opportunity for the remaining parties to confront and cross-examine adverse witnesses In re Vora, et al. 354 S.C. 590, 582 SE 2d, 413 (2003). The parties to this proceeding have been unable to cross-examine the decedent and will be deprived of this constitutional right if the Applicant's Motion is granted. Sympathy alone does not support the Applicant's Motion.

For the foregoing reasons, the Applicant's Motion to admit the pre-filed testimony of the decedent is prejudicial, arbitrary, a denial of due process, and exceeds the statutory authority of the Public Service Commission. Accordingly, Applicant's Motion should be denied.

Respectfully submitted,

Charles H. Cook, Esquire ELLIOTT & ELLIOTT, P.A.

721 Olive Street

Columbia, SC 29205

Telephone: 803-771-0555

FAX: 803-771-8010

Attorney for Petitioner, Wyboo Plantation

Owners Association, Inc.

Columbia, South Carolina December 1, 2006

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned employee of Elliott & Elliott, P.A. does hereby certify that she has served below listed parties with a copy of the **Return to Motion Seeking Approval to Present the Pre-filed Testimony of Joe Maready** on behalf of Wyboo Plantation Owners Association, Inc., indicated below by mailing a copy of same to them in the United States mail, by regular mail, with sufficient postage affixed thereto and return address clearly marked on the date indicated below:

RE:

Application of Wyboo Plantation Utilities, Inc. for

Approval of New Schedule of Rates and Charges for Water

and Sewer Services

Docket No.:

2005-13-WS

PARTIES SERVED:

Wendy B. Cartledge, Esquire C. Lessie Hammonds, Esquire Office of Regulatory Staff

P. O. Box 11263 Columbia, SC 29211

John F. Beach, Esquire Ellis, Lawhorne & Sims, P.A.

P. O. Box 2285 Columbia, SC 29202

Robert E. Tyson, Jr., Esquire

Sowell Gray Stepp & Laffitte, LLC

P. O. Box 11449 Columbia, SC 29211

Jackie C. Livingston, Paralegal

December 1, 2006